## **PCT**

# WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> :		(11)	International Publication Number:	WO 98/40153
B01D 53/94	A1	(43)	International Publication Date: 17 Sep	etember 1998 (17.09.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB (22) International Filing Date: 9 March 1998 (			81) Designated States: JP, US, European p. DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, L.	atent (AT, BE, CH, DE, U, MC, NL, PT, SE).
(30) Priority Data: 9705010.8 10 March 1997 (10.03.97)	C	В	ublished With international search report.	
(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): JC MATTHEY PUBLIC LIMITED COMPANY [GB/Cockspur Street, London SW1Y 5BQ (GB).				·
(72) Inventor; and (75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): TWIGG, Martyn [GB/GB]; 108 Ermine Street, Caxton, Cambridge (GB).	•			
(74) Agent: BREWER, Leonard, Stuart; Johnson Matthey ogy Centre, Blounts Court, Sonning Common, Read 9NH (GB).		_		

#### (54) Title: EMISSION CONTROL SYSTEM FOR A LEAN-BURN INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINE

#### (57) Abstract

An emission control system for a lean-burn internal combustion engine comprises (A) a first catalyst system comprising platinum group metal selected from platinum and/or palladium and/or rhodium, which catalyst system has a ratio of % NOx conversion to % hydrocarbon conversion of at least 0.2 as measured at a temperature of 230 °C, a space velocity of 25000hr<sup>-1</sup> and a hydrocarbon:NOx input ratio of 3:1 counting the hydrocarbon as equivalent propane, and (B) a second catalyst system, which has, as measured under the same conditions, à % hydrocarbon conversion of greater than 80 % and a % carbon monoxide conversion of greater than 70 %.

# FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania		Slovenia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SK	Slovakia
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SN	Senegal .
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	SZ	Swaziland
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD		TD	Chad
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	IVIK	The former Yugoslav	TM	Turkmenistan
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary		Republic of Macedonia	TR	Turkey
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	ML	Mali	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BR	Brazil	iL	Israel	MN	Mongolia	UA	Ukraine
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MR	Mauritania	UG	Uganda
CA	Canada	IT		MW	Malawi	US	United States of America
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Italy	MX	Mexico	UZ	Uzbekistan
CG	Congo	KE	Japan	NE	Niger	VN	Viet Nam
СН	Switzerland	KG	Kenya	NL	Netherlands	YU	Yugoslavia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Kyrgyzstan	NO	Norway	zw	Zimbabwe
CM	Cameroon	Kr	Democratic People's	NZ	New Zealand		
CN	China	1cm	Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CU	Cuba	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CZ		KZ	Kazakstan	RO	Romania		
DE	Czech Republic	rc	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DK	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
EE	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
r.c.	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

WO 98/40153 PCT/GB98/00705

## EMISSION CONTROL SYSTEM FOR A LEAN-BURN INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINE

This invention concerns improvements in emissions control systems, and more especially it concerns improvements in emissions control for engines operating at lean air/fuel ratios, *ie* air/fuel ratios greater than 14.7, generally in the range 19-50.

It will be appreciated that with lean-burn engines of various types, including particularly diesel, lean-burn gasoline and direct injection gasoline engines, the control of NOx tends to be difficult. This is understandable in that the exhaust gases contain relatively high amounts of oxygen and hence the removal of NOx involves reduction of NOx to N<sub>2</sub> in an overall oxidising atmosphere. Prior proposals have involved storage of NOx in the emission control system until a time when the exhaust gas contains relatively less oxygen, that is until the engine is running "rich", eg during acceleration. Another proposal is to store unburnt hydrocarbon until a point at which it can be released to contribute to NOx reduction. There remains the need, however, for yet further systems and strategies to achieve control of NOx emissions under lean conditions.

The present invention provides a novel emission control system for a lean-burn internal combustion engine, comprising a first catalyst system comprising platinum group metal and having relatively high selectivity for NOx reduction, and a second catalyst system having high activity for the oxidation of hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide. By platinum group metal is meant platinum and/or palladium and/or rhodium.

The invention also provides a process for the control of emissions from a lean-burn internal combustion engine, comprising passing the exhaust from the engine over a first catalyst system comprising platinum group metal and having relatively high selectivity for NOx reduction, and then passing the product gases exiting from said first catalyst system over a second catalyst system having high activity for the oxidation of hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide.

30

5

10

15

20

25

By selectivity for NOx reduction is meant the ratio of %NOx conversion to % hydrocarbon conversion. The catalyst system having relatively high such selectivity has a

10

15

20

25

30

selectivity of at least 0.2, preferably at least 0.3, especially at least 0.4; this is as measured at a temperature of 230°C, a space velocity of 25000hr<sup>-1</sup> and a hydrocarbon:NOx input ratio of 3:1 counting the hydrocarbon as equivalent propane. The catalyst system having high activity for the oxidation of hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide has, as measured under the same conditions, a % hydrocarbon conversion of greater than 80%, preferably greater than 90%; it has, as measured under the same conditions, a % carbon monoxide conversion of greater than 70%, preferably greater than 80%, especially greater than 90%, particularly greater than 95%. Defining the catalyst systems according to measurement under these conditions does not mean of course that they are necessarily operated under these conditions. In a particular embodiment, the first catalyst system is such that the exhaust gases from the engine flow over it at a low space velocity, particularly below 40000hr-1. The second catalyst system is usually such that the exhaust gases from the engine flow over it at a space velocity of 40000-80000hr-1. The first catalyst system usually contains platinum. The second catalyst system usually contains platinum. Thus, in a particular embodiment each contains platinum. For use, the first catalyst system can be mounted ahead of the second catalyst system in the exhaust apparatus of the engine. The present engine is preferably in a vehicle, for example a passenger car or heavy duty truck.

The skilled person may apply the present invention in a variety of ways. The first catalyst system may be, for example, a relatively low loading of catalytically active component on a substrate, optionally in combination with components that can retain NOx and/or reducing species, such as zeolite or like absorbents, or alkaline earth metal compounds. We have discovered that reducing the loading of catalytically active component (comprising platinum group metal, particularly platinum, optionally in the presence of base metal components) compared to conventional exhaust gas catalysts, serves to increase the selectivity of the catalyst system towards NOx reduction. The first catalyst system can contain for instance platinum group metal, particularly platinum, in amount less than 30g/ft<sup>3</sup> (30g per 0.028m<sup>3</sup>).

In a particular embodiment, the first catalyst system provides a low space velocity. Normal space velocities for exhaust catalysts systems are 40000-80000hr<sup>-1</sup>. A lower space

10

15

20

25

30

velocity may be achieved readily in practice by increasing the volume of the catalyst, or by utilising two catalyst "bricks" in parallel.

The second catalyst system is required to be of high oxidation activity. Such catalyst need not have NOx selectivity, but must be capable of oxidising hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide under the reaction conditions, to the desired extent, usually so as to satisfy emission standards regulations. Normal space velocities may be used. The second catalyst system usually comprises platinum group metal, particularly platinum, optionally in the presence of base metal components. A suitable oxidation catalyst comprises platinum on a high surface area support, optionally with other components which promote such oxidations.

The skilled person is very familiar with conventional exhaust gas catalyst technology. Generally, a support which is a honeycomb-type extruded ceramic or wound metal monolith or "brick" is coated with a surface area-enlarging washcoat, for example a washcoat consisting of or comprising alumina. Deposited onto the washcoat is a coating of one or more catalytic components, optionally with one or more other components such as ceria, zirconia, zeolite or the like, and the catalyst may be multi-component deposited in discrete layers or some components may be layered, with other components distributed throughout such layers. In the present invention, the actual catalysts chosen, and their construction, are not critical providing they meet the criteria stated.

It is now well established that carbon monoxide and hydrocarbons play a part in the reduction of NOx. For example, taking the hydrocarbon as C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, the following reactions could take place:

$$18NO + 2C_3H_6 \rightarrow 9N_2 + 6CO_2 + 6H_2O$$
  
 $2NO + 2CO \rightarrow N_2 + 2CO_2$ 

The molar ratios required for NOx reduction, namely C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> to NO and CO to NO. are exceeded over the European test cycle on average with a diesel engine. However, there

10

20

is competition between NOx and oxygen for the reducing species, and normally only quite low NOx conversions, (eg much less than 10%) are achieved in the European test cycle.

It may be preferred to increase NOx conversion under certain conditions by increasing the relative quantity of hydrocarbons in the exhaust. For example, injection of fuel into the exhaust upstream of the first catalyst system may be used. Alternatively, hydrocarbon storage using zeolites or the like, may be useful. It will be appreciated that there would be a small fuel consumption penalty if fuel injection into the exhaust is used. The high activity second catalyst system is readily capable of catalysing the oxidation of any excess hydrocarbons under the lean conditions.

Conventional catalyst manufacturing technology may be used.

The first and second catalyst systems may be mounted in a single "can" in the exhaust system, or they may be separated by a length of exhaust pipe.

The present engine is generally a diesel, lean-burn gasoline or direct injection gasoline engine.

The present invention is illustrated by the following Tests.

#### Test 1

The increase of NOx selectivity corresponding to decreasing platinum loading was shown for a standard 6in (15.2cm) catalyst brick. Exhaust from a 1.9 litre turbo direct injection diesel bench engine, operating at steady state conditions was used. NOx selectivity is measured as % NOx conversion at 230°C/% hydrocarbon conversion at 230°C. The results are shown in Table 1 below.

25

TABLE 1

Pt loading (g/ft <sup>3</sup> ) (g/0.028m <sup>3</sup> )	NOx selectivity at 230°C
10	1.00
25	0.40
50	0.34
75	0.33
100	0.31

10

5

A reduced loading of catalyst therefore improves selectivity.

## Test 2

15

20

The increase in NOx conversion, at a constant platinum loading (1.5g) per catalyst brick, by decreasing space velocity and reducing loading in g/unit volume was measured. The same engine and conditions was used as in Test 1. The NOx conversion was measured with "raw" exhaust from the engine ("Passive") and with the addition of hydrocarbon (HC) into the exhaust to yield a HC3:NOx ratio of 2.0:1. HC3 means that the hydrocarbon is counted as equivalent propane.

6

TABLE 2

		Maximum NOx Conversion (%)				
5	Catalyst length inches (cm)	Passive	Added HC			
	1 (2.5)	6	13			
	2 (5.1)	12	. 17			
	3 (7.6)	16	22			
	4 (10.1)	18	. 25			
10	5 (12.7)	22	29			
	6 (15.2)	25	33			

It can clearly be seen that increasing catalyst length and hence decreasing space velocity is beneficial in overall NOx conversion.

## **EXAMPLE 1**

A 1996 model passenger car with a 2.5 litre turbo direct injection diesel engine was used with several different exhaust catalyst systems, for standard EUDC emission tests (Extra Urban Driving Cycle emission tests of the European Union). The results are shown in Table 3 below.

10

20

7

#### TABLE 3

### **Catalyst System**

	НС	СО	NOx	HC+ NOx	PM (4)	%NOx
No Catalyst	0.322	1.034	0.394	0.715	0.089	0.0
OEM Catalyst (1)	0.196	0.913	0.389	0.585	0.081	1.3
New Catalyst (2)	0.067	0.336	0.332	0.399	0.079	15.7
Lean-NOx Catalyst (3)	0.054	0.495	0.294	0.347	0.073	25.4
Lean-NOx Catalyst + Oxidation Catalyst (5)	0.037	0.237	0.292	0.329	0.077	25.9

#### Notes:

- (1) OEM (Original Equipment Manufacturer) catalyst, 6in (15.2cm) long, with 46g/ft<sup>-3</sup>
  (g per 0.028m<sup>3</sup>) Pt.
  - (2) Advanced oxidation catalyst, 6 in (15.2cm) long with 40g/ft<sup>-3</sup> (g per 0.028m<sup>3</sup>) Pt.
  - (3) Lean-NOx catalyst, 12 in (30.5cm) long, with 25g/ft<sup>-3</sup> (g per 0.028m<sup>3</sup>) Pt.
  - (4) PM = Particulate Matter, g/km
  - (5) Lean-NOx catalyst, 9in (22.9cm) long, with 25g/ft<sup>-3</sup> (g per 0.028m<sup>3</sup>) Pt. followed by oxidation catalyst, 3in (7.6cm) long, with 100g/ft<sup>-3</sup> (g per 0.028m<sup>3</sup>) Pt.

All the catalysts used were fresh, ie without ageing.

It can readily be seen that the low loading, low space velocity Lean-NOx Catalyst is very effective in converting NOx, and that the combination according to the invention is remarkably effective.

10

## **CLAIMS**

- 1. An emission control system for a lean-burn internal combustion engine, comprising (A) a first catalyst system, comprising platinum group metal selected from platinum and/or palladium and/or rhodium, which catalyst system has a ratio of % NOx conversion to % hydrocarbon conversion of at least 0.2 as measured at a temperature of 230°C, a space velocity of 25000hr<sup>-1</sup> and a hydrocarbon:NOx input ratio of 3:1 counting the hydrocarbon as equivalent propane, and (B) a second catalyst system, which has, as measured under the same conditions, a % hydrocarbon conversion of greater than 80% and a % carbon monoxide conversion of greater than 70%.
- 2. A control system according to claim 1, wherein the first catalyst system is such that the exhaust gases from the engine flow over it at a space velocity below 40000hr<sup>-1</sup>.
- 15 3. A control system according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the second catalyst system is such that the exhaust gases from the engine flow over it at a space velocity of 40000-80000hr<sup>-1</sup>.
- 4. A control system according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the first catalyst system and the second catalyst system each contains platinum.
  - 5. A control system according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the first catalyst system is ahead of the second catalyst system in the exhaust apparatus of the engine.
- 6. A process for the control of emissions from a lean-burn internal combustion engine, comprising passing exhaust gases from the engine over a first catalyst system, comprising platinum group metal selected from platinum and/or palladium and/or rhodium, which catalyst system has a ratio of % NOx conversion to % hydrocarbon conversion of at least 0.2 as measured at a temperature of 230°C, a space velocity of 25000hr<sup>-1</sup> and a hydrocarbon:NOx input ratio of 3:1 counting the hydrocarbon as equivalent propane, then passing the product gases exiting from the first catalyst system over a second catalyst

system, which has, as measured under the same conditions, a % hydrocarbon conversion of greater than 80% and a % carbon monoxide conversion of greater than 70%.

- 7. A process according to claim 6, wherein the gases are passed over the first catalyst system at a space velocity below 40000hr<sup>-1</sup>.
  - 8. A process according to claim 6 or 7, wherein the gases are passed over the second catalyst system at a space velocity of 40000-80000hr<sup>-1</sup>.
- 9. A process according to any one of claims 6-8, wherein the first catalyst and the second catalyst system each contains platinum.
  - 10. A process according to any one of claims 6-9, wherein the engine is in a vehicle.

### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inte ional Application No PCT/GB 98/00705

CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER PC 6 B01D53/94 IPC 6 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 6 **B01D** Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category ° Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. X EP 0 661 089 A (RIKEN KK) 5 July 1995 1-10 see page 2, line 39 - page 3, line 49 see page 4, line 12 - line 13 see page 5, line 1 - line 9 see page 5, line 45 - line 46; claims 1-10; examples 27-38; tables 20-27 see page 6, line 7 - line 16 Α DE 26 49 825 A (FORD WERKE AG) 26 May 1977 1,3,5,6, 8,10 see page 5 - page 11; figures 4,5 EP 0 514 591 A (CORNING INC) 25 November Α 1-10 see page 2, line 55 - page 3, line 38 see page 4, line 33 - line 55 see page 6, line 2 - line 39; examples 1-5 -/--Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex. Special categories of cited documents: "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filling date but in the art later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of theinternational search Date of mailing of the international search report 17 June 1998 25/06/1998 Name and mailing address of the ISA Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo ni, Cubas Alcaraz, J Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

1

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inte onal Application No
PCT/GB 98/00705

	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Category 3	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.	
A	WO 93 04767 A (FORD MOTOR CANADA ;FORD WERKE AG (DE); FORD FRANCE (FR); FORD MOTO) 18 March 1993 see page 3, line 9 - line 36; figures 1-3 see page 8, line 1 - line 14	1,4-6,9, 10	
A	EP 0 707 883 A (N E CHEMCAT CORP) 24 April 1996 see the whole document		1

1

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

information on patent family members

Inter onal Application No
PCT/GB 98/00705

	itent document I in search report	t	Publication date	!	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0661089 A 0		05-07-1995	JP	2587000 B	05-03-1997	
				JP	7232036 A	05-09-1995
				DE	69408957 D	16-04-1998
				JP	8024583 A	30-01-1996
				JР	7265701 A	17-10-1995
				JP	8024646 A	30-01-1996
DE	2649825	Α	26-05-1977	CA	1073363 A	11-03-1980
				GB	1548243 A	11-07-1979
			<b></b>	JP	52065177 A	30-05-1977
EP	0514591	Α	25-11-1992	US	5168085 A	01-12-1992
				AT	125725 T	15-08-1995
				AU	648362 B	21-04-1994
				AU	8898991 A	26-11-1992
				DE	69111796 D	07-09-1995
				DE	69111796 T	18-04-1996
				JP	5096133 A	20-04-1993
				MX	9200151 A	01-01-1993
WO	9304767	Α	18-03-1993	CA	2115040 A	18-03-1993
				DE	69208377 D	28-03-1996
				DE	6 <b>920</b> 8377 T	20-06-1996
				EP	0641245 A	08-03-1995
				JP	6 <b>5099</b> 83 T	10-11-1994
				MX 	9204701 A	01-03-1993
EP	0707883	Α	24-04-1996	JP	8103656 A	23-04-1996
				CA	21 <b>5</b> 9956 A	07-04-1996